

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Douglas Hart
DOCKET NO.: 21-01957.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-34-201-019

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Douglas Hart, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$44,417 **IMPR.:** \$91,974 **TOTAL:** \$136,391

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a tri-level dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 1,786 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1974 and is approximately 47 years old. Features of the home include a finished lower level, central air conditioning, and a 550 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 8,703 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and located within 0.37 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with tri-level dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,766 to 2,239 square feet of living area. The dwellings are either 58 or 61 years old. Each comparable has a finished lower level and central

air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage that ranges in size from 441 to 793 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$75,000 to \$95,132 or from \$42.47 to \$43.95 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$76,619 or \$42.90 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$136,391. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$91,974 or \$51.50 per square foot of above ground living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on nine equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and located within 0.54 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with tri-level dwellings of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,538 to 1,961 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1959 to 1977 and thus range in age from 44 to 62 years old. Comparable #3 has an effective year built of 1985. The comparables each have a finished lower level and a garage that ranges in size from 420 to 638 square feet of building area. Eight comparables each have central air conditioning. Five comparables each have one fireplace. Two comparables each have an unfinished partial basement. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$78,979 to \$110,488 or from \$48.87 to \$60.56 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of thirteen suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and board of review comparables #1, #4, #5, #6, and #8 which are less similar to the subject in age and/or dwelling size than the other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #2, #3, #7, and #9 which are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and features, except two comparables each have an unfinished partial basement, unlike the subject, suggesting downward adjustments for this feature would be required to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, these four comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$78,979 to \$110,488 or from \$48.87 to \$59.21 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$91,974 or \$51.50 per square foot living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this

record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 19, 2023
	Middl 214
	Clade of the Property Ten Append

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Douglas Hart, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085