



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mike Lococo
DOCKET NO.: 21-01573.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-34-305-007

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mike Lococo, the appellant, by attorney Gregory Riggs, of Tax Appeals Lake County in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$39,119
IMPR.: \$200,966
TOTAL: \$240,085

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding and stone exterior construction with 5,189 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, a 1,267 square foot garage, and an inground swimming pool. The property has a 66,210 square foot site and is located in Mundelein, Fremont Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located from 0.38 to 0.99 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 57,790 to 93,250 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of brick, wood siding, or brick and wood siding exterior construction. The homes range in size from 4,743 to 6,049 square feet of living area and were built from 1986 to 2002. Each home has a basement, one of which is a walkout basement with

finished area, central air conditioning, two or six fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 888 to 1,286 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from March 2019 to September 2020 for prices ranging from \$420,000 to \$815,000 or from \$88.55 to \$134.73 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$240,085. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$722,060 or \$139.15 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on eight comparable sales¹ located from 0.03 of a mile to 1.01 miles from the subject. Comparables #4 and #9 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #3 and #1, respectively. The parcels range in size from 57,790 to 93,250 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story or part 1-story part 2-story homes² of brick, vinyl siding, wood siding, or brick and wood siding exterior construction. The homes range in size from 3,033 to 6,049 square feet of living area and were built from 1983 to 2002. Each home has a basement, two of which are walkout basements and four of which have finished area. Each home also features central air conditioning, one to six fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 704 to 1,286 square feet of building area. Comparable #9 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from March 2019 to March 2021 for prices ranging from \$587,500 to \$815,000 or from \$131.69 to \$211.67 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review also presented a grid analysis of the appellant's comparables, noting that comparable #1 was an "older sale" from March 2019 and comparable #2 was sold in an "as is" condition as described in a listing sheet for this property presented by the board of review. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine comparable sales, with two common sales and one duplicate comparable, for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1/board of review's comparable #9 and the board of review's comparable #8, which

¹ The comparables are presented in two grid analysis. Comparables #1 through #4 described in the second grid analysis are renumbered as comparables #6 through #9 for ease of reference. Comparable #6 is a duplicate of comparable #1.

² The board of review described comparable #7 as a 1-story home but also reported above ground living area that is greater than the reported ground floor living area, suggesting this home has second floor living area.

sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than the other sales in this record. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #1, #2, #3, and #7, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2, which sold for considerably less than the other comparables in this record, suggesting this sale was an outlier.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #3/board of review's comparable #4 and the board of review's comparable #5, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size but have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in age, site size, and features. These two most similar comparables sold for prices of \$659,000 and \$815,000 or for \$150.80 and \$134.73 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$722,060 or \$139.15 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed the two best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

September 19, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mike Lococo, by attorney:
Gregory Riggs
Tax Appeals Lake County
830 West IL Route 22
Suite 286
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085