



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: William Bogdala  
DOCKET NO.: 21-01353.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 04-16-202-033

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Bogdala, the appellant, by attorney Gregory Riggs, of Tax Appeals Lake County in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$10,303  
**IMPR.:** \$64,700  
**TOTAL:** \$75,003

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1-story ranch-style dwelling of brick exterior construction with 1,618 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1986. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 1,080 square foot attached garage. The property has an approximately 21,080 square foot site and is located in Winthrop Harbor, Benton Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located from 0.17 of a mile to 1.38 miles from the subject and with three of these comparables having the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1-story ranch-style dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,608 to 2,248 square feet of living area. The dwellings were

built from 1974 to 1990. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, and an attached garage that ranges in size from 480 to 728 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have one or two fireplaces. The improvement assessments on these properties range from \$54,001 to \$74,103 or from \$32.96 to \$38.05 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$59,057 or \$36.50 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$75,003. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$64,700 or \$39.99 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and located from 0.42 of a mile to 1.75 miles from the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story ranch-style dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,504 to 1,716 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1988 to 1994. Each comparable has a basement with one having finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached garage that ranges from 396 to 684 square feet of building area. Comparables #2 and #4 each have a detached garage with either 728 or 780 square feet of building area. The improvement assessments on these properties range from \$65,260 to \$74,748 or from \$38.34 to \$48.92 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on nine comparables to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 which is located over one mile from the subject and thus is less proximate in location to the subject than the other comparables in this record. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #4 which differs from the subject in dwelling size. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparables #1 through #4. Board of review comparable #1 is reported to have finished basement area, not a feature of the subject. Board of review comparables #2 and #4 each have a detached garage, which the subject lacks. Board of review comparable #3 is located over one mile from the subject and thus is less proximate in location to the subject than the other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables which are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and most features. These

comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$54,001 to \$65,260 or from \$33.58 and \$39.79 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$64,700 or \$39.99 per square foot of living area falls with the range established by the best comparables in this record on an overall improvement assessment basis but is slightly above the range on a per square foot basis. However, based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 19, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

William Bogdala, by attorney:  
Gregory Riggs  
Tax Appeals Lake County  
830 West IL Route 22  
Suite 286  
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085