



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Dominick Maiolo
DOCKET NO.: 21-00802.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-13-202-021

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dominick Maiolo, the appellant, by attorney Eric Feldman, of Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C. in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$68,427
IMPR.: \$216,577
TOTAL: \$285,004

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 4,791 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2004. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces, and a 927 square foot garage. The property has a 28,330 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within 0.18 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 27,020 to 40,290 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story or part 1-story part 2-story homes¹ of brick or brick and wood siding

¹ The appellant reported comparable #2 is a 1-story home but also reported above ground living area that is greater than the reported ground floor living area, indicating this home has second floor living area.

exterior construction ranging in size from 4,067 to 5,227 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2004 or 2005. Each home has a basement, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 787 to 1,446 square feet of building area. Comparables #1 and #2 each have an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from June to October 2020 for prices ranging from \$690,000 to \$885,000 or from \$158.37 to \$184.41 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$285,004. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$857,155 or \$178.91 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located within 0.38 of a mile from the subject. Comparables #1, #2, and #4 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #3, respectively. Comparables #3 and #5 have 40,010 or 40,570 square foot sites that are improved with 2-story homes of brick exterior construction with 5,272 or 5,304 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2005 or 2006. Each home has a basement, one of which is a walkout basement, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces, and a 897 or a 976 square foot garage. Comparable #3 has an inground swimming pool. These two comparables sold in March and June 2020 for prices of \$990,000 and \$1,050,000 or for \$186.65 and \$199.17 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of six comparable sales, with three common sales, for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1/board of review's comparable #1, the appellant's comparable #2/board of review's comparable #2, and the board of review's comparable #3, which each have an inground swimming pool unlike the subject. Moreover, the appellant's comparable #1/board of review's comparable #1 is a substantially smaller home than the subject and the appellant's comparable #2/board of review's comparable #2 has a significantly larger garage than the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable #3/board of review's comparable #4, the appellant's comparable #4, and the board of review's comparable #5, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and features, although two of these comparables have substantially larger lots than the subject, suggesting downward

adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$690,000 to \$990,000 or from \$158.37 to \$186.65 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$857,155 or \$178.91 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

September 19, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Dominick Maiolo, by attorney:
Eric Feldman
Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C.
53 W. Jackson Blvd.
Suite 1622
Chicago, IL 60604

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085