

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Aaron Bartz
DOCKET NO .:	21-00498.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	13-16-408-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Aaron Bartz, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$39,309
IMPR.:	\$193,841
TOTAL:	\$233,150

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick and frame exterior construction with 4,558 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2005. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and two garages totaling 1,051 square feet of building area. The property has a 42,253 square foot site and is located in Lake Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five suggested equity comparables that are in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within 0.44 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with either 1.5-story or 2-story dwellings of either brick or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,908 to 4,951 square feet of living area were built from 1990 to 1999. Each comparable has a basement, three with finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 840 to 1,324 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has an attic with 82 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$153,244 to

\$197,388 or from \$38.48 to \$39.88 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$178,377 or \$39.13 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$233,150. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$193,841 or \$42.53 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five suggested equity comparables that are in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within 0.43 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,885 to 4,810 square feet of living area that were built in from 1990 to 1992. Each comparable has a basement, three with finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one to five fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 701 to 1,112 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has an attic with 81 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$213,453 to \$250,712 or from \$44.38 to \$57.01 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted ten suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #1, #4 and #5 along with board of review comparables #3, #4 and #5 due to their dissimilar dwelling size and/or finished basement area when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 along with the board of review comparables #1 and #2 which are most similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, age and features. These most similar comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$167,235 to \$218,793 or from \$38.48 to \$53.88 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$193,841 or \$42.53 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range of the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, and after considering differences between the best equity comparables and the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement assessment was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

September 19, 2023

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Aaron Bartz, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085