

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Pericles Psihogios
DOCKET NO.: 19-33415.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-23-102-258-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Pericles Psihogios, the appellant(s), by attorney Dimitrios Trivizas, of Dimitrios P. Trivizas, Ltd. in Skokie; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$8,415 **IMPR.:** \$41,313 **TOTAL:** \$49,728

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Background**

The subject property consists of a 31-year-old two-story single-family dwelling of masonry construction with 2,887 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, two full bathrooms and one-half bathroom, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 9,350 square foot site, and is located in Niles, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellants assert assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted information on three suggested equity comparables. Some of this information was provided on a grid that the appellant submitted with their appeal petition, along with other documentary evidence.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$49,728. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$41,313 or \$14.31 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information regarding four suggested equity comparables. The comparables are located in the same subarea as the subject with one comparable located within a ¼ mile radius of the subject and a second comparable located within a block of the subject. The comparables were located within the same assessment neighborhood as the subject. The comparables were improved with a 2-story single family dwelling of masonry construction. They ranged: in size from 2,835 to 3,267 square feet of living area; in age from 23 to 35 years; and in improvement assessments ranging from \$45,270 to \$48,894 or from \$14.97 to \$16.64 per square foot of living area. Three of the homes had an unfinished basement and one had a finished basement with a formal recreation room. Each of the homes had central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

On June 27, 2023, Attorney Dimitrios P. Trivizas appeared on behalf of the Appellant Pericles Psihogios before the Property Tax Appeal Board for a hearing. John Lartz appeared on behalf of the board of review.

Prior to the June 27, 2023, hearing the appellant submitted rebuttal evidence consisting of a "Rebuttal Letter" as a response to the evidence presented by the board of review in this appeal. Specifically, the appellant challenged the methodology used by the board of review in the selection of the submitted comparables.

During his opening testimony, Mr. Trivizas stated that the basis of the appeal was equity and uniformity and he was seeking practical uniformity versus absolute uniformity in the assessment of the subject. During his testimony on behalf of the appellant, Mr. Trivizas reaffirmed the information provided in the documentary evidence for the three suggested comparable properties submitted to the Board. He argued that the substantial similarity between the subject and the three submitted comparables warranted a reduction in the assessment of the subject.

During questioning by Mr. Lartz, Mr. Trivizas reaffirmed the proximity of the comparables to the subject and the square footage of living area of each of the comparables.

During his opening testimony, Mr. Lartz reaffirmed the information about the comparable properties that was submitted to the Board. He testified that the board of review's suggested comparable properties were similar to the subject property in size, proximity and age. He specifically noted that the one of the comparables was in a different municipality than the subject however, he noted that the comparable was within the subject's assessment neighborhood and was within a ¼ mile of the subject. Mr. Lartz argued that the similarity between the comparables submitted by the board of review and the subject supports the correctness of the assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

Assessment inequity is the basis of the taxpayer's appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires that real estate taxes "be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law." Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation, however, and it is sufficient if the taxing authority achieves a reasonable degree of uniformity. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4<sup>th</sup> Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a conviction of a crime. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. After considering all the submitted comparables, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and the board of review's comparables #2, #3 and #4. These comarables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject. Like the subject property, these comparables have two story, single-family residences with similar amenities and have *approximately* the same size per square foot of living area as the subject. Appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and the board of review comparable #2 are located in Park Ridge, but all the comparables are in the same assessment neighborhood as the subject. These most similar comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$12.02 to \$16.00 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$14.31 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, such as construction, age, and finished basement area, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
C. R.	assert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DIGGENTING	
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 19, 2023
	Middl 214
	Clade of the Property Ten Append

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Pericles Psihogios, by attorney: Dimitrios Trivizas Dimitrios P. Trivizas, Ltd. 4957 Oakton Street No. 217 Skokie, IL 60077

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602