



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Bryna Scott Williamson
DOCKET NO.: 13-00572.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 12-02-33-208-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Bryna Scott Williamson, the appellant, by Jerri K. Bush, Attorney at Law, in Chicago, and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Will County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$10,400
IMPR.: \$7,932
TOTAL: \$18,332**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 1,194 square feet of living area which includes former garage area that was converted to living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1959. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation and central air

conditioning. The property is located in Romeoville, DuPage Township, Will County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on January 3, 2013 for a price of \$55,000. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service and it was on the market for 35 days. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the Multiple Listing Service data sheet depicting that the home was a Pre-foreclosure sale for cash; and a copy of the Listing & Property History Report depicting the original listing date of October 13, 2012 with an asking price of \$69,900. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$33,800. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$101,838 or \$85.29 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2013 three year average median level of assessment for Will County of 33.19% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to the appeal and in support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a memorandum entitled "Response from the Assessor" along with supporting documents. In the memorandum, it was asserted that the subject's sale was invalid because the "seller/buyer is a financial institution/government agency." In further support, the board of review submitted a copy of the PTAX-203 Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration asserting the subject property was purchased in December 2012, it was advertised prior to the sale and the "seller/buyer is a financial institution/government agency" was marked on the form.

The assessor also submitted a spreadsheet with limited information on seven comparable sales and contended that the subject's sale was clearly below market. The assessor reported these comparables were located in the "subject subdivision." The comparable data set forth the parcel number, "unit," sale price, sale date, dwelling size, style (i.e., one-story) and "model." No other information regarding foundation, features, or other amenities was provided. The homes range in size from

906 to 1,979 square feet of living area. The comparables sold from May to October 2012 for prices ranging from \$95,000 to \$137,000 or from \$69.23 to \$133.66 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant reiterated that the basis of this appeal was the recent sale of the subject property. Counsel contends that the subject's purchase was an arm's length transaction in light of applicable case law and has not been adequately disputed by the board of review. Moreover, the subject property's asking price was also less than the estimated market value as reflected by its assessment. Since the board of review did not provide any evidence that the subject's sale price was not reflective of market value, the appellant requested a favorable decision.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

Except in counties with more than 200,000 inhabitants that classify property, property is to be valued at 33 1/3% of fair cash value. (35 ILCS 200/9-145(a)). Fair cash value is defined in the Property Tax Code as "[t]he amount for which a property can be sold in the due course of business and trade, not under duress, between a willing buyer and a willing seller." (35 ILCS 200/1-50). The Supreme Court of Illinois has construed "fair cash value" to mean what the property would bring at a voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is ready, willing, and able to buy but not forced to do so. Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 44 Ill.2d 428 (1970). A contemporaneous sale between two parties dealing at arm's length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash value but practically conclusive on the issue on whether the assessment is reflective of market value. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of

Chicago, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967). Furthermore, the sale of a property during the tax year in question is a relevant factor in considering the validity of the assessment. Rosewell v. 2626 Lakeview Limited Partnership, 120 Ill.App.3d 369, 375 (1st Dist. 1983).

As to the assertion that the subject's sale was invalid, Section 1-23 of the Code defines compulsory sale as:

"Compulsory sale" means (i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete. 35 ILCS 200/1-23.

Section 16-183 of the Code provides that the Property Tax Appeal Board is to consider compulsory sales in determining the correct assessment of a property under appeal stating:

Compulsory sales. The Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of comparable properties for the purpose of revising and correcting assessments, including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer. 35 ILCS 200/16-183.

Based on these statutes, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds it is appropriate to consider the sale of the subject property in revising and correcting the subject's assessment.

In conclusion, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property on or about January 2013 for a price of \$55,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The evidence disclosed the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor and the property had been advertised on the open market for 35 days. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the MLS listing sheet for the subject property and a copy of the Listing & Property History Report. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds the purchase price of \$55,000 is below the subject's

estimated market value as reflected by its assessment of \$101,838.

The board of review submitted information on seven comparable sales with varying degrees of similarity to the subject property as reflected in the limited data provided. Moreover, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the sales presented by the board of review do not refute the appellant's evidence that the subject property sold after being exposed on the open market for 35 days in a transaction involving parties that were not related. Based on this record the Board finds the purchase price on or about January 2013 is the best indication of market value as of January 1, 2013, and reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

K. L. Fan

Klaus Albrecht

Member

Member

Jerry White

Member

Acting Member

Robert Steffen

Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 22, 2016

A. Proctor

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.