



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mike Piatek
DOCKET NO.: 12-32818.001-R-1 through 12-32818.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mike Piatek, the appellant(s), by attorney Scott Shudnow, of Shudnow & Shudnow, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
12-32818.001-R-1	13-21-301-010-0000	4,950	20,608	\$25,558
12-32818.002-R-1	13-21-301-011-0000	4,950	32,477	\$37,427

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two buildings situated on an 8,250 square foot site. Building #1 is a three-story, masonry mixed-use building containing one commercial unit with two apartments above. It contains 6,722 square feet of building area. Building #2 is a two-story, masonry mixed-use building containing one commercial unit with an apartment above. It contains 4,222 square feet of building area. They were built in 1929 and 1942, respectively. The subject is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County, and is classified as Class 2-12 property under the Cook County Real Property Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$600,000 as of January 1, 2012. Both the appraisal and the appellant's attorney also indicated the subject was purchased for \$650,000 on April 28, 2010, pursuant to a foreclosure. The appellant

supported this transaction with a closing statement and indicated a broker was involved in this transaction and the parties were not related.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$104,931. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,082,879 when applying the 2012 three year median level of assessments for class 2 property of 9.69% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted four equity comparables. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the recent purchase of the subject. This price is supported by the appraisal. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above the best evidence of market value in the record. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$650,000 as of the assessment date at issue. The board of review failed to provide any evidence that the sale was not an arm's-length transaction and was not at market value. Since market value has been established the 2012 three year median level of assessment for class 2 property of 9.69% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply, per the appellant's request. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 22, 2016



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.