



Property Tax Appeal Board

2015 Annual Report



State of Illinois PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

Wm. G. Stratton Office Bldg. 401 South Spring St., Rm. 402 Springfield, Illinois 62706 (T) 217.782.6076 (F) 217.785.4425 (TTY) 217.785.4427

LOUIS G. APOSTOL

Executive Director & General Counsel

Suburban North Regional Office 9511 W. Harrison St., Suite LL-54 Des Plaines, Illinois 60016 (T) 847.294.4121 (F) 847.294.4799

February 1, 2016

Governor Bruce Rauner Members of the General Assembly Citizens of Illinois

Section 16-190 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-190) requires the Property Tax Appeal Board to file an annual report that contains the following information for each county:

- (1) the total number of cases for commercial and industrial property requesting a reduction in assessed value of \$100,000 or more for each of the last five years;
- (2) the total number of cases for commercial and industrial property decided by the Property Tax Appeal Board for each of the last five years; and
- (3) the total change in assessed value based on the Property Tax Appeal Board decisions for commercial property and industrial property for each of the last five years.

The Board continues to work toward fulfilling its original mission – to hear and adjudicate assessment disputes in a timely manner. We encourage taxpayers who want to become more familiar with the property tax appeal process to first visit the web site at www.ptab.illinois.gov and review the Frequently Asked Questions section, or call the Board's offices in Springfield and Des Plaines. You also may request a copy of the annual Synopsis of Representative Cases, available on the web site, or attend a Board hearing. Agency proceedings are open to the public and scheduled in various county seats throughout the year.

Members, Property Tax Appeal Board

Mission Statement

The mission of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board is to hear and adjudicate real property assessment disputes filed before it as authorized by law. To achieve this purpose, and to instill public confidence in the integrity and efficiency of the state's property tax appeal process, the Board members and staff will pursue the following goals:

- → Provide an informal forum, open to the public, for the timely hearing of contested appeals;
- → Work to resolve appeals in a timely manner by issuing impartial decisions based upon equity and the weight of the evidence which set forth the Board's findings;
- → Establish clear, concise, accurate and timely communications with the public; and
- → Maintain a work force that demonstrates the highest standards of integrity, efficiency and performance.

Board members

The current members of the Property Tax Appeal Board bring a balance of various qualifications and backgrounds to the property tax assessment cases they decide. They are:

4	Kevin L. Freeman	(Chicago)
+	Mauro Glorioso	(Westchester)
•	Jim Bilotta	(Lockport)
+	Jerry White	(Springfield)
4	Robert J. Steffen	(South Barrington)

Table of Contents

Creation and Authority of the Board	1
Synopsis of Representative Cases	2
Understanding the Report	3
Change in Assessed Value for Commercial and Industrial Appeals Report	5



Creation and Authority of the Board

The Property Tax Appeal Board ("PTAB" or the "Board") is a quasi-judicial body made up of five members and a professional staff of 31 full-time employees which serve the Board. The Board was created in 1967 to provide an unbiased forum for taxpayers and taxing bodies outside of Cook County that are dissatisfied with property assessments. Decisions of the Board are based upon "equity and the weight of evidence." The Board determines only the correct assessment of property. The amount of a tax bill or the tax rate used to compute taxes are determined by local county officials and may not be appealed to the Board. In addition, the Board has no authority to exempt property from taxation.

Legislation passed in 1995 and 1996 allowed Cook County taxpayers to appeal to the Board. Residential property of six or fewer units was authorized for appeal beginning with the 1996 assessment year. All other property was authorized for appeal beginning with the 1997 assessment year.

The Board's five Members are appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the State Senate. The Members serve six year terms, and no more than three may be from the same political party. The Governor designates a chairman. The Board will appoint an Executive Director to direct and manage all legal, administrative and operational activities of PTAB. The Executive Director will also serve as the agency's legal counsel and as liaison to the legislative, judicial and executive branches of state government.

Appeals are heard by a professional staff of administrative law judges who are either qualified in the field of real estate assessment or attorneys. Applicable hearings are set in the county seat of a county throughout the year and are open to the public. Hearings are conducted according to rules established by the Board. The rules are less formal than those in a courtroom. All activities are reviewed and supervised by the Executive Director.

NOTE: To further highlight the Board's productivity, which is not reflected in the data regarding commercial and industrial appeal decisions, the Board also closed approximately 16,764 residential appeals consisting of 26,850 properties during calendar year 2015.

Synopsis of Representative Cases

By law, the PTAB is required to publish each year a volume containing representative cases decided by the Board during that year. The synopsis is intended to aid the reader in understanding the issues confronted by the Board, and the kinds of evidence and documentation which meet with success.

In the synopsis, the annual volume of representative cases contains a chapter devoted to each type of property heard by the Board: 1) residential; 2) commercial; 3) industrial; and 4) farm. Each chapter contains a table of contents and an index, which is organized by subject matter and presented in alphabetical sequence.

The synopsis is provided to the public, free of charge. If a citizen would like a copy, they may obtain a copy on the web site or request a copy from the PTAB office. In addition, the Board publishes several brochures and forms available on its web site at www.ptab.illinois.gov. Citizens may also request brochures by calling the Springfield office.

The Property Tax Appeal Board is located at the Stratton Office Building, 401 South Spring Street, Room 402, Springfield, Illinois 62706 (Phone Number: 217.782.6076) and the Suburban North Regional Facility, 9511 West Harrison Street, Suite LL-54, Des Plaines, Illinois 60016 (Phone Number: 847.294.4121).

For further information please contact:

Louis G. Apostol, JD, CAE
Executive Director & General Counsel
Property Tax Appeal Board
Wm. G. Stratton Office Bldg.
401 South Spring Street
Room 402
Springfield, IL 62706
217.782.6076
louis.apostol@illinois.gov

<u>Understanding the Report</u>

The following information helps explain what is in the report *Change in Assessed Value for Commercial and Industrial Appeals.* The information explains how to read the report, what time periods are covered and the data in each column.

The report is first sorted by county and then by year within the county.

It is important to note that all of the figures in the report pertain exclusively to closed commercial and industrial appeals. All information regarding residential and farm appeals is excluded.

Section 16-190(b) of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-190(b)) states that the reporting period cover the last five years. However, this report includes the last seven years in order to show a more representative picture of the Board's actual activity.

NOTE: Some counties do not have figures for every year. This is because a county only appears on the report for years that an appeal has been filed and **closed** for **commercial and industrial** property. In addition, some rows may contain all zeroes. This happens when there is at least one **commercial and industrial** property in a county that was appealed, but none of the other reporting criteria were met.

Six columns make up the report. They include the county, year, requests for reduction equal to or greater than \$100,000, total cases decided, total change in assessed value based on stipulations, total change in assessed value based on PTAB decisions excluding stipulations and total change in assessed value.

Column 1 — County

The first column lists the counties being reported.

Column 2 — Year

The second column is the assessment year.

Column 3 — Requests for reduction >= \$100,000

The third column lists all commercial and industrial appeals on file with a requested reduction exceeding \$100,000. The reason the appeal was closed does not apply to this column. **Withdrawals and dismissals** are included in this column if the other criteria are met. This is the only column that has **withdrawals and dismissals** included.

Column 4 — Total cases decided

The fourth column reports the total number of all commercial and industrial appeals, including the cases where a difference in the assessed value of the appellant and the county is less than \$100,000.

<u>Understanding the Report</u>

Column 5 — Total change in assessed value based on stipulations

The fifth column is the total cumulative change in the assessed values for commercial and industrial appeals, including those cases where the difference in the assessed value of the appellant and the county is less than \$100,000 where there was a stipulation between the parties. Cases where a hearing was held or where a decision was written based solely on the evidence presented by all parties are not included in this column.

Column 6 — Total change in assessed value based on PTAB decisions, excluding stipulations

The sixth column is the total cumulative change in the assessed values for commercial and industrial appeals, including the cases where the difference in the assessed value of the appellant and the county is less than \$100,000, and decisions were made with or without a hearing. Stipulations are not included in this column.

Column 7 — Total change in assessed value

The last column is the total cumulative change in the assessed values for commercial and industrial appeals.

				Total change in	Total change in	
		Requests for		assessed value	assessed value based	Total change
_		reduction	Total cases	based on	on PTAB decisions	in
County	Year	>= 100,000	decided	stipulations	excluding stipulations	assessed value
ADAMS	2009	2	3	-767,920	0	-767,920
ADAMS	2010	1	2	-489,250	0	-489,250
ADAMS	2011	1	1	-569,830	0	-569,830
ADAMS	2012	2	0	0	0	0
ALEXANDER	2011	0	3	0	-1,500	-1,500
ALEXANDER	2012	0	0	0	0	0
BOND	2008	1	1	0	-170,755	-170,755
BOND	2012	1	1	-168,749	0	-168,749
BOONE	2008	5	7	-1,850,637	0	-1,850,637
BOONE	2009					
		9	15	-2,346,468	0	-2,346,468
BOONE	2010	8	12	-1,409,645	0	-1,409,645
BOONE	2011	5	10	-327,985	-21,233	-349,218
BOONE	2012	2	7	-507,317	0	-507,317
BOONE	2013	1	1	-121,973	0	-121,973
BUREAU	2008	4	7	-410,275	-114,963	-525,238
BUREAU	2009	3	3	-594,272	-7,228	-601,500
BUREAU	2010	1	4	-94,957	-537,616	-632,573
BUREAU	2011	3	6	-642,937	-125,868	-768,805
BUREAU	2012	4	5	280,618	0	280,618
BUREAU	2013	2	2	-167,191	0	-167,191
BUREAU	2014	2	1	-321,140	0	-321,140
CALHOUN	2010	0	2	-60,060	0	-60,060
CHAMPAIGN	2008	6	40	-1,813,650	-23,080	-1,836,730
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
CHAMPAIGN	2009	8	8	-2,856,390	-178,960	-3,035,350
CHAMPAIGN	2010	7	3	-1,340,290	0	-1,340,290
CHAMPAIGN	2011	2	13	-1,650,380	-32,150	-1,682,530
CHAMPAIGN	2012	3	21	-414,760	-67,835	-482,595
CHAMPAIGN	2013	6	11	-183,150	0	-183,150
CHAMPAIGN	2014	0	1	-17,117	0	-17,117
CHRISTIAN	2010	1	1	-115,459	0	-115,459
CLINTON	2008	1	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	2009	2	2	-212,890	0	-212,890
CLINTON	2010	0	1	813,280	0	813,280
CLINTON	2011	1	1	-36,175	0	-36,175
CLINTON	2012	0	2	-26,136	0	-26,136
CLINTON	2013	0	1	0	0	0
CLINTON	2014	0	0	0	0	0
COLES	2009	3	5	-752,194	0	-752,194
COLES	2010	3	60	-644,564	-1,015,840	-1,660,404
				•		
COLES	2011	2	58	-905,276	-93,570	-998,846
COLES	2012	2	3	0	-866,552	-866,552
COLES	2013	2	4	0	-867,416	-867,416
COLES	2014	0	0	0	0	0
COOK	2008	830	5,808	-132,131,676	-15,574,188	-147,705,864
COOK	2009	1,072	8,214	-213,114,245	-40,858,918	-253,973,163
COOK	2010	563	4,470	-112,714,842	-16,195,521	-128,910,363
COOK	2011	356	2,798	-62,538,217	-1,124,390	-63,662,607
COOK	2012	285	2,704	-31,728,780	-1,662,244	-33,391,024
COOK	2013	174	690	-9,206,428	-489,918	-9,696,346
COOK	2014	57	7	-389,035	-30,352	-419,387
CRAWFORD	2009	1		-956,333	0	-956,333
CRAWFORD	2009	0	1	-39,436	0	-39,436
ONAWFURD	2011	U	I	-39,430	U	-39,430

		Demusete for		Total change in	Total change in	Total abanga
		Requests for reduction	Total cases	assessed value based on	assessed value based on PTAB decisions	Total change in
County	Year	>= 100,000	decided	stipulations	excluding stipulations	assessed value
CRAWFORD	2012	0	1	-46,921	0	-46,921
CUMBERLAND	2013	0	0	0	0	0
DEKALB	2008	5	8	-127,691	0	-127,691
DEKALB	2009	7	12	-530,705	0	-530,705
DEKALB	2010	1	6	-295,555	0	-295,555
DEKALB	2010	4	16	-732,843	0	-732,843
DEKALB	2011	0	5	-145,596	0	-145,596
DEKALB	2012	2	3	-75,694	0	-75,694
DEWITT	2013	2	2	-4,089,542	0	-4,089,542
DOUGLAS	2009	0	1	-4,069,542 -6,670	0	
DOUGLAS	2009	0	0	-6,670 0	0	-6,670 0
		1	1		•	•
DOUGLAS	2013	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	107	-279,319	2 220 027	-279,319
DUPAGE	2008	159	187	-34,794,803	-3,329,927	-38,124,730
DUPAGE	2009	161	200	-40,283,112	-2,908,729	-43,191,841
DUPAGE	2010	177	218	-42,124,578	-9,501,465	-51,626,043
DUPAGE	2011	267	291	-58,916,656	-855,680	-59,772,336
DUPAGE	2012	148	183	-30,242,323	-2,172,250	-32,414,573
DUPAGE	2013	95	170	-12,680,092	-841,422	-13,521,514
DUPAGE	2014	12	9	-523,740	0	-523,740
EDGAR	2009	0	0	0	0	0
EFFINGHAM	2008	2	4	-37,323	-853,520	-890,843
EFFINGHAM	2009	1	2	0	-179,053	-179,053
EFFINGHAM	2010	2	3	0	-317,086	-317,086
EFFINGHAM	2011	2	3	0	-315,623	-315,623
FAYETTE	2009	0	1	0	-84,509	-84,509
FAYETTE	2014	1	1	0	-203,327	-203,327
FRANKLIN	2008	2	3	0	-218,925	-218,925
FRANKLIN	2009	1	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	2010	1	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	2011	2	1	-2,284,490	0	-2,284,490
FRANKLIN	2012	1	1	-2,415,545	0	-2,415,545
FULTON	2008	2	3	-417,352	-17,670	-435,022
FULTON	2009	1	1	-63,627	0	-63,627
FULTON	2010	0	2	-63,627	-4,590	-68,217
FULTON	2011	3	4	-1,744,445	0	-1,744,445
FULTON	2012	2	4	-463,113	-1,841,792	-2,304,905
GREENE	2010	0	2	-3,229	0	-3,229
GREENE	2012	1	1	-99,231	0	-99,231
GRUNDY	2008	3	8	-23,576,926	0	-23,576,926
GRUNDY	2009	4	2	-8,647,287	0	-8,647,287
GRUNDY	2010	3	3	-22,094,397	0	-22,094,397
GRUNDY	2011	6	1	-15,114,110	0	-15,114,110
GRUNDY	2012	4	2	-15,114,110	0	-15,114,110
GRUNDY	2013	2	_ 1	-16,114,110	0	-16,114,110
HANCOCK	2009	0	0	0	0	0
HANCOCK	2013	2	4	-277,705	0	-277,705
HENRY	2008	1	0	0	0	0
HENRY	2009	1	0	0	0	0
HENRY	2010	2	0	0	0	0
HENRY	2010	3	1	-197,993	0	-197,993
IROQUOIS	2011	2	0	-197,993 0	0	-197,993 0
JACKSON	2012	3	3	-426,518	-176,637	-603,155
JACKSON	2000	3	3	-420,310	-170,037	-003,133

				i iiiaaoti iai		
County	Vaar	Requests for reduction	Total cases	Total change in assessed value based on	Total change in assessed value based on PTAB decisions	Total change in
County	Year	>= 100,000	decided	stipulations	excluding stipulations	assessed value
JACKSON	2009	2	2	0	-503,207	-503,207
JACKSON	2010	1	1	-9,744,280	0	-9,744,280
JACKSON	2011	3	6	-9,503,758	-46,526	-9,550,284
JACKSON	2012	1	11	-263,882	0	-263,882
JACKSON	2013	0	3	-62,503	0	-62,503
JACKSON	2014	2	0	0	0	0
JASPER	2009	3	27	-8,937,875	0	-8,937,875
JASPER	2010	3	27	-15,937,876	0	-15,937,876
JASPER	2011	3	27	-23,937,872	0	-23,937,872
JASPER	2012	6	27	-21,902,763	0	-21,902,763
JEFFERSON	2008	2	5	-1,102,039	-126,032	-1,228,071
JEFFERSON	2009	3	4	-365,259	-249,558	-614,817
JEFFERSON	2010	0	2	0	-156,020	-156,020
JEFFERSON	2011	2	7	-797,384	0	-797,384
JEFFERSON	2012	_ 1	3	-275,894	0	-275,894
JEFFERSON	2013	1	0	0	0	0
JERSEY	2009	0	0	0	0	0
JERSEY	2010	2	2	-74,665	0	-74,665
				-212,205		
JERSEY	2011	2	6	,	0	-212,205
JERSEY	2012	0	4	-25,630	0	-25,630
JERSEY	2013	0	0	0	0	0
JO DAVIESS	2008	0	0	0	0	0
JO DAVIESS	2009	8	1	0	-196,090	-196,090
JO DAVIESS	2010	7	1	-196,090	0	-196,090
JO DAVIESS	2011	3	1	-196,090	0	-196,090
JO DAVIESS	2012	1	3	-196,090	0	-196,090
JO DAVIESS	2014	1	0	0	0	0
KANE	2008	57	92	-8,319,609	-2,506,825	-10,826,434
KANE	2009	94	133	-22,256,880	-2,142,692	-24,399,572
KANE	2010	89	108	-12,726,452	-196,195	-12,922,647
KANE	2011	107	131	-17,950,002	-149,866	-18,099,868
KANE	2012	76	175	-11,471,886	-46,179	-11,518,065
KANE	2013	39	73	-6,113,096	-89,752	-6,202,848
KANE	2014	11	20	-1,290,840	0	-1,290,840
KANKAKEE	2008	10	12	-3,462,214	-1,663,031	-5,125,245
KANKAKEE	2009	12	17	-1,280,681	-1,598,065	-2,878,746
KANKAKEE	2010	16	15	-1,873,540	-1,761,608	-3,635,148
KANKAKEE	2011	6	53	-2,711,713	0	-2,711,713
KANKAKEE	2012	6	39	-5,934,610	0	-5,934,610
KANKAKEE	2013	2	5	-165,135	0	-165,135
KANKAKEE	2014	1	2	-17,064	0	-17,064
KENDALL	2008	4	5	-19,600,821	0	-19,600,821
KENDALL	2009	6	3	-20,048,907	0	-20,048,907
KENDALL	2010	7	3	-1,009,148	0	-1,009,148
KENDALL	2011	15	31	-2,841,231	0	-2,841,231
KENDALL	2012	10	19	-7,841,341	0	-7,841,341
KENDALL	2013	10	13	-2,380,550	0	-2,380,550
KENDALL	2014	3	0	0	0	0
KNOX	2008	7	6	-1,019,760	-274,880	-1,294,640
KNOX	2009	2	4	-862,820	0	-862,820
KNOX	2010	3	4	-355,990	0	-355,990
KNOX	2010	4	5	-219,610	0	-219,610
NINOA	2011	4	ວ	-219,010	U	-219,010

				Tatal alessas is	Total share size	
		Poguasta for		Total change in	Total change in	Total abanga
		Requests for reduction	Total cases	assessed value based on	assessed value based on PTAB decisions	Total change in
County	Year	>= 100,000	decided	stipulations	excluding stipulations	assessed value
KNOX	2012	5	5	-872,800	()	-872,800
KNOX	2012		2		· ·	,
		0		-37,140	0	-37,140
LAKE	2008	74	116	-9,615,154	-275,568	-9,890,722
LAKE	2009	35	34	-2,458,705	0	-2,458,705
LAKE	2010	30	41	-3,666,850	-4,281	-3,671,131
LAKE	2011	81	74	-11,040,692	0	-11,040,692
LAKE	2012	72	158	-8,066,724	-49,995	-8,116,719
LAKE	2013	43	109	-12,954,464	0	-12,954,464
LAKE	2014	35	84	-2,351,059	0	-2,351,059
LASALLE	2008	2	4	-709,548	0	-709,548
LASALLE	2009	4	3	-610,527	0	-610,527
LASALLE	2010	2	4	-1,181,121	-7,333	-1,188,454
LASALLE	2011	8	5	-2,061,703	0	-2,061,703
LASALLE	2012	2	2	-37,037	0	-37,037
LASALLE	2013	1	2	-65,195	0	-65,195
LASALLE	2014	1	3	-86,516	0	-86,516
LAWRENCE	2010	0	0	0	0	0
LEE	2009	0	1	-64,100	0	-64,100
LEE	2010	1	1	-29,525	0	-29,525
LEE	2012	1	0	0	0	0
LIVINGSTON	2008	0	0	0	0	0
LIVINGSTON	2009	0	2	-27,868	0	-27,868
LIVINGSTON	2010	2	0	0	0	0
LIVINGSTON	2010	0	0	0	0	0
LIVINGSTON	2011	0	0	0	0	0
LIVINGSTON	2012	1	0	0	0	0
LOGAN	2010	0	1	<u>-</u>	0	-
LOGAN		1		-35,000		-35,000
	2011	1	2	0	-1,059,584	-1,059,584
LOGAN	2012	1	1	0	-732,230	-732,230
LOGAN	2013	1	1 70	-712,510	0	-712,510
MACON	2008	6	79	-1,683,020	0	-1,683,020
MACON	2009	24	127	-5,348,655	-678,374	-6,027,029
MACON	2010	21	31	-5,138,640	-165,700	-5,304,340
MACON	2011	18	47	-4,651,388	-48,000	-4,699,388
MACON	2012	18	39	-3,713,159	0	-3,713,159
MACON	2013	13	25	-2,141,794	-249,095	-2,390,889
MACON	2014	4	4	-1,012,308	0	-1,012,308
MADISON	2008	26	124	-5,355,483	-917,540	-6,273,023
MADISON	2009	25	107	-1,733,165	-2,902,010	-4,635,175
MADISON	2010	37	49	-2,375,320	-7,545,233	-9,920,553
MADISON	2011	24	33	-2,405,202	-4,855,536	-7,260,738
MADISON	2012	24	32	-2,898,430	-1,715,336	-4,613,766
MADISON	2013	20	24	-2,226,270	-2,500,307	-4,726,577
MADISON	2014	8	4	-388,050	0	-388,050
MARION	2008	1	1	-95,530	0	-95,530
MARION	2010	6	7	-743,470	0	-743,470
MARION	2011	5	3	-54,000	0	-54,000
MARION	2012	4	4	-610,470	0	-610,470
MARION	2013	1	2	-78,960	0	-78,960
MARION	2013	0	1	-70,900 -870	0	-870
MARSHALL	2008	0	2	-52,721	0	-52,721
MARSHALL	2008		5			
INIAROHALL	2009	1	5	-81,625	0	-81,625

-			- Ciai aiio	· ····································	7 tppoulo	
01	V.	Requests for reduction	Total cases	Total change in assessed value based on	Total change in assessed value based on PTAB decisions	Total change in
County	Year	>= 100,000	decided	stipulations	excluding stipulations	assessed value
MARSHALL	2010	1	1	-4,320	0	-4,320
MARSHALL	2011	0	2	-35,855	0	-35,855
MASON	2011	0	1	-38,329	0	-38,329
MASSAC	2008	0	1	-37,130	0	-37,130
MASSAC	2012	1	0	0	0	0
MCDONOUGH	2008	0	0	0	0	0
MCDONOUGH	2009	2	2	-167,650	0	-167,650
MCHENRY	2008	32	101	-2,522,238	-258,036	-2,780,274
MCHENRY	2009	28	114	-3,887,371	, 0	-3,887,371
MCHENRY	2010	19	138	-2,246,908	0	-2,246,908
MCHENRY	2011	16	132	-912,183	0	-912,183
MCHENRY	2012	6	20	-793,688	0	-793,688
				•	· ·	·
MCHENRY	2013	6	7	-181,772	0	-181,772
MCHENRY	2014	2	6	-87,765	0	-87,765
MCLEAN	2008	4	9	-736,971	0	-736,971
MCLEAN	2009	4	11	-1,764,707	0	-1,764,707
MCLEAN	2010	2	11	-403,653	-88,232	-491,885
MCLEAN	2011	4	20	-1,102,984	-70,000	-1,172,984
MCLEAN	2012	4	8	-629,269	0	-629,269
MCLEAN	2013	5	3	-713,783	0	-713,783
MERCER	2008	0	1	-34,928	0	-34,928
MERCER	2011	1	0	0	0	0
MONROE	2009	1	0	0	0	0
MONROE	2010	1	3	-344,509	0	-344,509
MONROE	2011	1	1	-71,060	0	-71,060
MONROE	2011	1	2	-124,820	· ·	-210,020
		1	4	•	-85,200	·
MONROE	2013	0	1	-22,340	0	-22,340
MONTGOMERY	2008	0	0	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	2009	1	0	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	2010	0	1	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	2011	1	1	-531,950	0	-531,950
MONTGOMERY	2012	1	1	-350,150	0	-350,150
MORGAN	2008	0	1	-33,180	0	-33,180
MORGAN	2009	1	0	0	0	0
MORGAN	2010	2	0	0	0	0
MORGAN	2011	3	3	-421,680	0	-421,680
MORGAN	2012	2	3	-428,180	-27,780	-455,960
MORGAN	2013	_ 1	2	-10,000	0	-10,000
MOULTRIE	2008	<u>.</u> 1	4	-232,758	0	-232,758
MOULTRIE	2010	1	0	0	0	0
MOULTRIE	2010	1		-80,820	_	-80,820
		4	4		0	
MOULTRIE	2012	2	2	-38,326	0	-38,326
MOULTRIE	2013	2	0	0	0	0
OGLE	2008	5	7	-4,912,466	0	-4,912,466
OGLE	2009	3	10	-4,568,536	-175,332	-4,743,868
OGLE	2010	0	3	-1,831,476	0	-1,831,476
OGLE	2011	2	5	-133,330	0	-133,330
OGLE	2012	1	3	-3	0	-3
OGLE	2013	4	3	-3	0	-3
PEORIA	2008	42	113	-7,112,930	-30,840	-7,143,770
PEORIA	2009	52	99	-7,909,633	-133,640	-8,043,273
PEORIA	2010	33	80	-3,599,678	0	-3,599,678
. = 5.0.	_5.0	00		3,000,070	9	3,000,070

				Total change in	Total change in	
		Requests for		assessed value	assessed value based	Total change
		reduction	Total cases	based on	on PTAB decisions	in
County	Year	>= 100,000	decided	stipulations	excluding stipulations	assessed value
PEORIA	2011	38	156	-16,328,925	-117,357	-16,446,282
PEORIA	2012	55	180	-16,953,929	0	-16,953,929
PEORIA	2013	42	138	-10,588,490	0	-10,588,490
PEORIA	2014	5	7	-642,640	0	-642,640
PIATT	2008	0	0	0	0	0
PIKE	2008	1	1	-181,740	0	-181,740
PIKE	2009	1	1	-187,930	0	-187,930
PIKE	2012	0	2	-111,900	0	-111,900
PUTNAM	2008	0	0	0	0	0
PUTNAM	2009	1	0	0	0	0
PUTNAM	2011	1	Ö	0	0	0
PUTNAM	2012	0	0	0	0	0
PUTNAM	2012				0	
		0	0	0		0
PUTNAM	2014	0	0	0 470	0	0
RICHLAND	2009	0	1	-68,476	0	-68,476
ROCK ISLAND	2008	14	7	-2,916,654	-376,653	-3,293,307
ROCK ISLAND	2009	13	4	-540,457	-483,208	-1,023,665
ROCK ISLAND	2010	12	11	-1,838,138	-22,998	-1,861,136
ROCK ISLAND	2011	5	9	-1,514,910	0	-1,514,910
ROCK ISLAND	2012	11	7	-1,820,849	0	-1,820,849
ROCK ISLAND	2013	6	6	-765,273	0	-765,273
ROCK ISLAND	2014	3	3	-32,116	0	-32,116
SALINE	2009	1	1	-78,475	0	-78,475
SALINE	2010	3	1	-1,121,345	0	-1,121,345
SALINE	2011	2	3	0	-856,809	-856,809
SALINE	2012	2	2	-929,839	0	-929,839
SALINE	2013	1	1	-404,604	0	-404,604
SANGAMON	2008	8	42	-4,998,093	-725,393	-5,723,486
SANGAMON	2009	19	37	-4,310,870	-64,495	-4,375,365
SANGAMON	2010	23	80	-10,065,309	-1,622,425	-11,687,734
SANGAMON	2011	28	101	-9,721,380	-749,781	-10,471,161
SANGAMON	2011	17	66	-8,194,129	-78,363	-8,272,492
SANGAMON	2012	10	22			
				-2,582,246	-509,324	-3,091,570
SANGAMON	2014	3	1	-520,523	0	-520,523
SHELBY	2011	0	0	70.000	0	70,000
SHELBY	2012	1	1	-78,269	0	-78,269
SHELBY	2013	1	1	-43,269	0	-43,269
ST. CLAIR	2008	33	36	-3,629,719	-108,747	-3,738,466
ST. CLAIR	2009	21	89	-4,014,693	-19,874	-4,034,567
ST. CLAIR	2010	36	74	-91,140,284	-198,418	-91,338,702
ST. CLAIR	2011	37	42	-5,093,256	0	-5,093,256
ST. CLAIR	2012	28	90	-5,647,960	-419,562	-6,067,522
ST. CLAIR	2013	23	55	-3,361,802	0	-3,361,802
ST. CLAIR	2014	9	7	-16,563	-4,291	-20,854
STEPHENSON	2008	1	2	-231,531	0	-231,531
STEPHENSON	2009	0	1	-10,574	0	-10,574
STEPHENSON	2010	0	1	-10,574	0	-10,574
STEPHENSON	2011	0	1	0	-96,410	-96,410
STEPHENSON	2012	2	5	-241,663	0	-241,663
STEPHENSON	2013	1	1	0	-14,295	-14,295
STEPHENSON	2014	0	Ö	0	0	0
TAZEWELL	2008	3	17	-962,350	0	-962,350
	2000	3	17	302,330	O	302,330

		Requests for reduction	Total cases	Total change in assessed value based on	Total change in assessed value based on PTAB decisions	Total change in
County	Year	>= 100,000	decided	stipulations	excluding stipulations	assessed value
TAZEWELL	2009	5	18	-1,159,960	-2,120	-1,162,080
TAZEWELL	2010	20	24	-3,249,020	0	-3,249,020
TAZEWELL	2011	8	27	-1,980,610	0	-1,980,610
TAZEWELL	2012	13	24	-2,804,818	0	-2,804,818
TAZEWELL	2013	11	28	-2,120,268	0	-2,120,268
TAZEWELL	2014	3	5	-729,100	0	-729,100
UNION	2008	1	0	0	0	0
UNION	2013	1	0	0	0	0
VERMILION	2008	3	7	0	-1,732,173	-1,732,173
VERMILION	2009	6	4	-2,414,973	0	-2,414,973
VERMILION	2010	0	0	0	0	0
VERMILION	2011	2	9	-321,837	0	-321,837
VERMILION	2012	7	8	-547,762	-120,867	-668,629
VERMILION	2013	0	0	0	0	0
WARREN	2010	0	0	0	0	0
WAYNE	2011	1	1	-208,485	0	-208,485
WHITE	2012	0	1	0	-52,449	-52,449
WHITE	2013	0	0	0	0	0
WILL	2008	56	52	-14,319,311	-3,551,233	-17,870,544
WILL	2009	56	39	-11,997,897	0	-11,997,897
WILL	2010	102	87	-17,209,709	-1,237,210	-18,446,919
WILL	2011	114	102	-13,166,239	0	-13,166,239
WILL	2012	51	40	-6,290,234	0	-6,290,234
WILL	2013	57	16	-1,014,016	-99,999	-1,114,015
WILL	2014	42	6	-174,624	0	-174,624
WILLIAMSON	2008	1	3	-94,890	-38,983	-133,873
WILLIAMSON	2009	6	10	0	-858,041	-858,041
WILLIAMSON	2010	2	2	-836,130	0	-836,130
WILLIAMSON	2011	3	2	-133,120	-481,825	-614,945
WILLIAMSON	2012	3	4	-134,120	-489,635	-623,755
WILLIAMSON	2014	1	0	0	0	0
WINNEBAGO	2008	13	33	-3,315,491	0	-3,315,491
WINNEBAGO	2009	8	10	-2,220,709	0	-2,220,709
WINNEBAGO	2010	17	14	-1,209,371	-116,631	-1,326,002
WINNEBAGO	2011	8	9	-650,016	0	-650,016
WINNEBAGO	2012	3	8	-216,864	0	-216,864
WINNEBAGO	2013	0	23	-293,139	-117,500	-410,639
WINNEBAGO	2014	0	1	0	0	0
WOODFORD	2008	0	1	-12,620	0	-12,620
WOODFORD	2009	1	1	-71,660	0	-71,660
WOODFORD	2010	0	2	-64,080	-70,920	-135,000
WOODFORD	2011	0	1	-70,195	0	-70,195
WOODFORD	2012	0	3	-123,899	0	-123,899
WOODFORD	2013	0	1	-30,217	0	-30,217